

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/11/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/212,915	12/16/1998	HIDEMI TAKASU	A28838-I-A	7678	
7.	590 06/11/2003				
BAKER & BOTTS			EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ESTRADA, M	ESTRADA, MICHELLE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2823		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/212,915	TAKASU, HIDEMI				
·	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michelle Estrada	2823				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 22 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply to a n places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	f extension and the corresponding amore he shortened statutory period for reply on the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 22 May 2003. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) 🗵 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would local canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	s issues which were newly				
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <i>none</i> .						
Claim(s) objected to: none.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-9</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disappr	oved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen 10. Other:	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	- Hayrum				
		Primary Examiner				

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 09/212,915



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Upon cursory review, the proposed amendment to claim 1 and the arguments filed 5/22/03 do not clearly place the case in condition for allowance. Applicant has not pointed to support in the disclosure as originally filed for the proposed amendment Applicant's arguments rely on the proposed amendment which has not been entered. The proposed amendment changed the scope of claim 1 raising new issues requiring further consideration rand/or search.